

# Earthquake Prediction System

---

Niharika Deshmukh  
*Computer Engineering*  
*Vishwakarma Institute*  
*of Technology*  
Pune, India  
[niharika.deshmukh231@vit.edu](mailto:niharika.deshmukh231@vit.edu)

Eshansingh Dixit  
*computer Engineering*  
*Vishwakarma Institute*  
*of Technology*  
Pune,India  
[eshansingh.dixit23@vit.edu](mailto:eshansingh.dixit23@vit.edu)

Harsh Doshi  
*Computer Engineering*  
*Vishwakarma Institute*  
*of Technology*  
Pune,India  
[harsh.doshi232@vit.edu](mailto:harsh.doshi232@vit.edu)

Aryan Dongare  
*Computer Engineering*  
*Vishwakarma Institute of Technology*  
Pune, India  
[aryan.dongare231@vit.edu](mailto:aryan.dongare231@vit.edu)

Om Durge  
*Computer Engineering*  
*Vishwakarma Institute Of Technology*  
Pune, India  
[om.durge23@vit.edu](mailto:om.durge23@vit.edu)

**Abstract:-***This paper presents a real-time seismic risk prediction system using logistic regression to assess earthquake risks based on seismic magnitude data. The system analyzes the latest seismic readings to predict risks and provides real-time risk assessments. It offers flexibility with adjustable risk thresholds and model weights, catering to various seismic regions. Additionally, the system maintains historical data using a sliding window model to track seismic trends efficiently. Future enhancements could incorporate machine learning for better accuracy, making the system a robust and adaptive tool for seismic risk management.*

**Keywords:-** Seismic risk prediction, logistic regression, real-time analysis, sliding window, earthquake risk, risk threshold.

## I Introduction

With the increasing impact of seismic activities globally, a system that can predict and assess earthquake risks in real-time is crucial. Traditional methods, often based on seismic anomalies, lack precision in short-term risk forecasting. The proposed system uses seismic magnitude data and logistic regression for real-time analysis, allowing users to assess potential earthquake risks effectively. Through a combination of current and historical data, the system predicts risk levels and adapts dynamically to incoming seismic information. This paper outlines the design, methodology, and potential of the Seismic Risk Analysis Tool.

## II Literature Survey

The literature review discusses various approaches to earthquake prediction using machine learning. Key studies include Mallouhy et al.'s use of Random Forest and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) for classifying earthquake events, and Kuyuk et al.'s precursor-based prediction using LSTM networks. Asim et al. explored regional predictions in the Hindukush, finding Pattern Recognition Neural Networks most effective. Li et al. proposed a Polynomial Regression-KNN model for aftershock prediction, while Bhandarkar et al. found LSTM networks superior to Feed Forward Neural Networks for trend prediction. These studies highlight the diverse methodologies and their varying effectiveness in different contexts.

Mondol et al. (2010).

This literature review highlights the significant advancements in applying machine learning to seismology, particularly in earthquake forecasting. Over the past five years, machine learning has rapidly enhanced various components of earthquake monitoring, such as detection, phase association, and characterization, thanks to large labeled datasets. The development of next-generation earthquake catalogs using these techniques provides a higher-resolution view of seismic activity, enabling better analysis and forecasting. However, while these catalogs offer more detailed data, their full potential in improving earthquake forecasting remains to be fully realized. Further research is needed to explore new patterns and relationships within these deeper datasets using advanced machine learning approaches

Mousav et al.(2021)

The paper titled "Deep learning for laboratory earthquake prediction and autoregressive forecasting of fault zone stress" explores advanced machine learning (ML) techniques for predicting laboratory earthquakes (labquakes). The study extends previous work by introducing deep learning (DL) models, particularly Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM), Temporal Convolution Network (TCN), and Transformer networks, for both predicting labquake events and autoregressively forecasting fault zone shear stress. The DL models outperform traditional ML approaches and successfully predict various aspects of labquakes, including the time to start and end of failure. The research also highlights the importance of acoustic emissions as indicators of fault zone stress, offering promising directions for future earthquake forecasting methodologies.

Marone et al.(2022)

The literature on earthquake prediction, as discussed in the paper, highlights a shift from traditional methods like seismic anomaly analysis and electromagnetic monitoring to

modern approaches using satellite remote sensing and big data analytics. Despite challenges such as the chaotic nature of seismic systems and unreliable precursors, the authors argue that a combination of multiple data sources can lead to successful predictions. They present a practical global prediction model that has shown significant success, suggesting that with further advancements, accurate and reliable earthquake prediction is increasingly feasible. The paper underscores the importance of integrating advanced technologies and historical data to improve prediction outcomes.

Tronin et al.(2021).

The literature on Deep Learning (DL) in earthquake engineering has seen rapid growth, driven by the potential of DL to address complex challenges in the field, such as seismic damage prediction, structural health monitoring, and risk assessment. Various DL techniques, including Convolutional Neural Networks (CNN), Recurrent Neural Networks (RNN), and Generative Adversarial Networks (GAN), have been applied to tasks like vision-based damage assessment and seismic response prediction. However, despite the advancements, there remains a gap in the literature, particularly in the integration of DL with traditional earthquake engineering methods. The review highlights the need for more comprehensive studies that address the interdisciplinary nature of earthquake engineering, while also emphasizing the importance of open-access data and improved model interpretability to advance DL applications in this field.

Montreal et al.(2019).

The literature highlights the critical nature of earthquake prediction, emphasizing its unpredictability and devastating effects. While geological studies have enabled long-term predictions, especially around the Pacific Ring of Fire, short-term forecasting remains challenging. Machine learning methods, such as the random forest, have been employed to predict seismic events by analyzing factors that precede earthquakes. However, these approaches alone have proven insufficient. Recent research suggests that integrating machine learning with geological studies offers a more comprehensive prediction model, addressing the limitations of individual approaches.

Alasadi et al(2023).

This article provides a comprehensive review of natural pre-earthquake phenomena, including gravity variations, radon emission, and changes in meteorological parameters like temperature and humidity. It introduces the Earthquake Preparation Zone concept, highlighting its role in signaling an imminent earthquake, with a radius potentially exceeding 300 km for magnitude 6 quakes. The review also explores the role of satellites in monitoring these precursors and discusses global efforts, particularly in countries like France, Russia, and Japan, in space-based earthquake prediction. The article emphasizes optimism in the field, citing recent advancements and local research, such as statistical forecasting and

gravity variation studies, that are contributing to the progress toward reliable earthquake prediction.

Irfan.et al(2009).

This paper explores the critical need for accurate earthquake prediction, focusing on methods to forecast the magnitude and depth of seismic events. By analyzing real-world earthquake data, the study trains four machine learning models: Random Forest, Linear Regression, Polynomial Regression, and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM). The performance of these models is compared, revealing that while predicting earthquake magnitude remains challenging, Polynomial Regression yields the most reliable results. Additionally, Random Forests are highlighted as particularly effective in predicting earthquake depth, underscoring the potential of machine learning in enhancing earthquake prediction accuracy.

Mondol et al(2023).

Analysis and Prediction of Earthquakes using different Machine Learning techniques  
Manaswi Mondol University of Twente P.O. Box 217, 7500AE Enschede The Netherlands  
m.mondol@student.utwente.nl. This paper, titled "Analysis and Prediction of Earthquakes using different Machine Learning techniques," investigates methods to predict earthquake magnitude and depth using machine learning models. The paper analyzes real-world earthquake data to identify patterns and insights. It then trains four machine learning models—Random Forest, Linear Regression, Polynomial Regression, and Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)—for predicting earthquake magnitude and depth. The performance of these models is compared, with polynomial regression showing the best results for magnitude prediction and Random Forest excelling in depth prediction.

Modolo et al.(2024)

The literature review in the paper explores existing approaches to earthquake prediction, focusing on traditional seismological methods and modern machine learning techniques. It highlights the limitations of classical statistical methods, such as the lack of accuracy and difficulty in handling complex, non-linear relationships in seismic data. The review then examines various machine learning algorithms, including neural networks, support vector machines, and decision trees, emphasizing their potential to improve prediction accuracy. The methodology included training machine learning models with a dataset from the National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC), covering significant earthquake events from 2000 to 2016. The models were evaluated using metrics like accuracy, precision, recall, and F1 score, with the random forest model achieving an accuracy of 99.95% Previous studies employing these techniques are discussed, setting the stage for the paper's novel approach of combining random forest and neural networks for enhanced earthquake prediction.

Sharma et al.(2019).

### III Methodology

#### 1. Overview

The Seismic Risk Analyzer application is a Java-based tool designed to evaluate seismic risk levels using multiple machine learning algorithms. It provides an interactive interface for loading datasets, selecting classification algorithms, and evaluating model performance. The application integrates the WEKA library for machine learning and utilizes Java Swing for a user-friendly GUI.

#### 2. Development Environment and Libraries

Programming Language: Java Development Environment: Java with Swing for GUI development.

Machine Learning Library: WEKA, which provides implementations for a wide range of classifiers and evaluation techniques.

Data Handling: The application reads datasets in CSV format, loaded through the WEKA DataSource class.

Performance Metrics: WEKA's Evaluation class is used to calculate and display precision, recall, and F1 score, key metrics in machine learning model performance evaluation.

#### 3. System Architecture

The application has three main components: Dataset Loading: Allows users to load CSV datasets through a file chooser interface.

Model Selection and Evaluation: Enables selection of classification algorithms and evaluation of their performance using cross-validation.

Results Display: Displays detailed evaluation metrics in a text area for easy interpretation.

#### 4. CSV Data Loading and Preparation

Users can load datasets in CSV format via a file chooser. The application utilizes WEKA's DataSource class to read the CSV file and create an Instances object representing the dataset.

The target variable for classification (typically the last column, labeled "Risk") is set as the class index for model training and evaluation.

#### 5. Machine Learning Classification Algorithms

The application provides four classification algorithms, each suited to different types of data and risk analysis:

Logistic Regression: Useful for binary classification, estimating probabilities through a logistic function.

Support Vector Machine (SVM): Implemented using WEKA's SMO class with a linear kernel to find a hyperplane for class separation.

Random Forest: An ensemble method that constructs multiple decision trees and combines their outputs.

Naive Bayes: A probabilistic model based on Bayes' theorem, assuming independence between features.

K-NN: supervised machine learning algorithm that classifies data points based on the 'k' closest labeled examples in the feature space.

## 6. Performance Metrics for Model Evaluation

The application uses a 10-fold cross-validation technique for model evaluation.

Key Metrics Calculated:

Precision: The ratio of true positive predictions to the sum of true and false positives, indicating the model's accuracy for positive predictions.

Recall: The ratio of true positives to the sum of true positives and false negatives, showing the model's ability to capture positive instances.

F1 Score: The harmonic mean of precision and recall, giving a balanced metric especially for imbalanced data.

The evaluation results are formatted and displayed in the JTextArea in the application's GUI, allowing users to interpret model performance.

## 7. Graphical User Interface (GUI)

The GUI offers intuitive navigation, allowing users to:

Load a Dataset: By selecting a CSV file through JFileChooser, which loads data into an Instances object.

Select a Classifier: From a drop-down list (JComboBox), enabling users to test various algorithms.

View Results: Evaluation metrics are displayed in a scrollable, non-editable JTextArea for easy review.

Status Updates: Users receive real-time feedback on actions, such as dataset loading success or evaluation errors, through a J Label.

## 8. Implementation Workflow

Step 1: Users load a CSV dataset by clicking on the Load Dataset button, triggering the Load Button Listener.

Step 2: After loading, they select a classifier from the drop-down list (JComboBox).

Step 3: By pressing Evaluate Model, the Evaluate Button Listener selects the appropriate classifier, performs evaluation using 10-fold cross-validation, and displays the evaluation results in the text area.

The Seismic Risk Analyzer offers a structured workflow and a comprehensive set of classifiers, making it suitable for analyzing seismic risk in various scenarios. It serves as a powerful tool for educational, research, and practical applications, enabling efficient exploration of machine learning models and their performances..

### System Overview

The system architecture consists of the following:

Frontend: User-friendly interface allowing users to view seismic trends and risks.

Backend: Java-based backend handles data processing and analysis. The system connects to a database to store seismic readings and risk predictions, ensuring real-time updates.

### Development Tools

The system is developed using Java with the BufferedReader class for handling CSV files. Logistic regression is implemented using standard mathematical libraries in Java.

### Testing and Quality Assurance

The system undergoes multiple testing phases. Unit testing is performed on the core functions, such as data loading and risk prediction. Integration testing ensures smooth interaction between modules. User acceptance testing (UAT) is carried out to ensure the system meets real-world expectations in seismic monitoring.

## IV Conclusion

The Seismic Risk Prediction System presents an effective solution for real-time earthquake risk assessment. Its flexibility in adjusting risk thresholds makes it adaptable for different seismic regions. With the potential to integrate advanced machine learning models, the system holds promise for future enhancements in earthquake prediction accuracy. As a scalable solution, it can also be extended to support other data formats and integrate with larger seismic monitoring infrastructures.

## V Acknowledgments

We would like to extend our gratitude to Dr. Ajay Talele for their invaluable guidance throughout this project.

## VI References

- 1) Mallouhy et al. – Use of Random Forest and K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN) for classifying earthquake events.
- 2) Kuyuk et al. – Precursor-based prediction using LSTM networks.
- 3) Asim et al. – Regional predictions in the Hindukush using Pattern Recognition Neural Networks.
- 4) Li et al. – Proposed a Polynomial Regression-KNN model for aftershock prediction.
- 5) Bhandarkar et al. – Comparison of LSTM networks and Feed Forward Neural Networks for trend rediction.
- 6) Mondol et al. (2010) – Overview of advancements in applying machine learning to earthquake prediction.
- 7) Mousav et al. (2021) – "Deep learning for laboratory earthquake prediction and autoregressive forecasting of fault zone stress."
- 8) Marone et al. (2022) – Discussed DL models for predicting labquakes using LSTM, TCN, and Transformer networks.
- 9) Tronin et al. (2021) – Overview of earthquake prediction using multiple data sources, including satellite remote sensing.
- 10) Montreal et al. (2019) – Discussed DL applications in seismic damage prediction and structural health monitoring.
- 11) Alasadi et al. (2023) – Use of machine learning methods like Random Forest for predicting seismic events.
- 12) Irfan et al. (2009) – Reviewed natural pre-earthquake phenomena and the Earthquake Preparation Zone concept.
- 13) Mondol et al. (2023) – "Analysis and Prediction of Earthquakes using different Machine Learning techniques."
- 14) Sharma et al. (2019) – Combined random forest and neural networks for enhanced earthquake prediction.